

## REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The office action dated November 26, 2004 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

By this Amendment, Applicant amends claims 1, 10 and 16. Claims 21-43 have been withdrawn by virtue of the Restriction Requirement dated February 11, 2003. Accordingly, claims 1-43 are currently pending. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (GB 2,343,012A), and claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. in view of den Boer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,656,824). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

Claim 1 is allowable over the cited references in that claim 1 recites a combination of elements including, for example, "...a first electrode and a second electrode on the first substrate, the first and second electrodes forming a storage capacitor... a dielectric frame on the common electrode to define a plurality of domains, at least a portion of the dielectric frame blocking light as a light shielding layer and the dielectric frame surrounding each domain..." None of the cited references, singly or in combination, teaches or suggests at least this feature of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 and claims 2-9, which depend therefrom, are allowable over the cited references.

Claim 10 is allowable over the cited references in that claim 10 recites a combination of elements including, for example, "...a first electrode and a second electrode on the first substrate, the first and second electrodes forming a storage capacitor...a dielectric frame on the common electrode to define a plurality of domains, at least a portion of the dielectric frame blocking light as a light shielding layer and the dielectric frame surrounding each domain..." None of the cited references, singly or in combination, teaches or suggests at least this feature of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 10 and claims 11-15, which depend therefrom, are allowable over the cited references.

Claim 16 is allowable over the cited references in that claim 16 recites a combination of elements including, for example, "...a first electrode and a second electrode on the first substrate,

the first and second electrodes forming a storage capacitor... a dielectric frame on the common electrode on the second substrate to define a plurality of domains, at least a portion of the dielectric frame blocking light as a light shielding layer and the dielectric frame surrounding each domain..." None of the cited references, singly or in combination, teaches or suggests at least this feature of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 16 and claims 17-20, which depend therefrom, are allowable over the cited references.

Applicant believes the application is in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited. If the Examiner deems that a telephone conversation would further the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (202) 496-7500.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: February 28, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By Valerie P. Hayes <sup>Reg. No. 53,005</sup>  
for Rebecca Goldman Rudich  
Registration No.: 41,786  
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP  
1900 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006

Attorney for Applicant